

Date: 24.11.2020

To,

Department of Urban Development and Municipal Affairs,
U. D. Branch,
Nabarayan, BF – 8,
Sector – 1, Salt Lake,
Kolkata – 64.

Ref: C.A.N. No.: 3949 of 2020

W.P. No.: 23287 (W) of 2015

Ravindra Kumar Chopra

-Vs-

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Sub: **Written Objection** on behalf of **Smt. Anjana Chanda** in reply to Letter No. 430(law)(4)/UDMA-15011(12)/30/2020-LS-MA SEC-Dept. of UDMA dt. 11.11.2020

Sir,

I, Smt. Anjana Chanda, Wife of Dr. Bikash Chanda and Daughter of Late Bishnupada Das and Late Arati Das, have received the letter dated 11.11.2020 with reference no. 430(law)(4)/UDMA-15011(12)/30/2020-LS-MA SEC-Dept. of UDMA, addressed to me.

That after the last video conference on 12.10.2020, I have duly engaged myself to gather information regarding this matter and accordingly I would like to state as follows:

1. That the property, which is in dispute, was acquired by my parents both acquiring half shares in the property, back in the year 1970 through a Registered Deed of Lease from the Governor of West Bengal for 999 years.
2. That on 15.07.1990 my father, namely Bishnupada Das died leaving behind my mother, namely Arati Das, since deceased, along with me

and my brother, namely Amit Kumar Das as his only legal heirs and successors and by law of succession my father's share was divided into 1/3rd each between us. By virtue of such partition I and my brother each became owner of the 1/6th share of the property and my mother became owner of 2/3rd share of the property.

3. That by the virtue of a Deed of Assignment and Power of Attorney dated 11.04.2002, I along with my mother and my brother have transferred our specific rights over the property as well as right to construction to one M/s Artland, represented by its sole proprietor Tarun Das and gave consent to proceed with the construct over the said property in question.
4. That subsequently, one criminal proceeding and one civil suit were instituted due to some discrepancies which cropped up between M/S Artland and us, although both the matters were mutually resolved and same was withdrawn for non-prosecution and in no time the construction work resumed.
5. That as far as the knowledge and information I could gather, I along with my mother and brother have transferred our specific rights over the suit property to M/S Artland.
6. That from my knowledge and from the information which I could gather, I can say that M/S Artland is the last appointed proprietor for the development of the suit property and the said development organization was in possession of the same.
7. That the parties who have applied for mutation in this instant proceeding, namely Sri Ravindra Kumar Chopra and Sri Barindra Kumar Chopra are two complete outsiders to me as I have only came

to know about them during this saga of gathering knowledge regarding this matter. I further deny and disputes the documents relied by them in the instant proceeding. As I have no knowledge how they procured them as well as I and my mother and my brother have transferred any right of the property in questions to them or to anyone else for that matter.

8. It is pertinent to mention herein that one Civil Suit being T.S. No. 205 of 2010 has been instituted in 2nd Civil Judge, Senior Division at Barasat and an Appeal being T.A. No. 36 of 2012 was preferred before the District Judge at Barasat, both of which impleaded me as a party.
9. That it has also come to my knowledge that an Appeal being F.M.AT No. 944 of 2019 was preferred before the Hon'ble High Court in relation to the matters stated above and the same is still pending for hearing and disposal.
10. That I would like to conclude by stating that I had no prior knowledge of any of the two persons applying for mutation of the property to their names. M/S Artland was the last known organization appointed over the development of the property and from my knowledge they still have the possession over the suit property after the demise of my mother.

By relying over the statements I made hereinabove, I pray before this Learned Tribunal to keep this proceeding in abeyance as already one Civil Suit over the property is pending before appropriate court and I further request to record my submission as well to keep the instant proceeding in abeyance as the documents relied by the applicant is forged and fabricated in nature, and any order passed herein relying upon the forged and

fabricated documents will violate my right and I will suffer irreparable loss injury and prejudice.

Thanking you,

Yours sincerely

Anjam chanda